ARCHER Single Node Optimisation

Vectorisation



Vector Instructions (Vectorisation)

- Modern CPUs can perform multiple operations each cycle
 - Use special SIMD (Single Instruction Multiple Data) instructions
 - e.g. SSE, AVX
 - Operate on a "vector" of data
 - typically 2 or 4 double precision floats (on Ivy Bridge)
 - Potentially gives speedup in floating point operations
 - Usually only one loop is vectorisable in loop nest
 - And most compilers only consider inner loop





Vectorisation

- Same operation on multiple data items
 - Wide registers
 - SIMD needed to approach FLOP peak performance, but your code must be capable of vectorisation
 for (i=0; i<N; i++) {

a[i] = b[i] + c[i]

a(i) = b(i) + c(i)

end do

x86 SIMD instruction sets:

- SSE: register width = 128 Bit
 - 2 double precision floating point operands do i=1, N
- AVX: register width = 256 Bit
 - 4 double precision floating point operands







- Skylake processor has AVX512 vector units per core
 - Symmetrical units
 - Only one supports some of the legacy stuff (x87, MMX, some of the SSE stuff)
 - Vector instructions have a latency of 6 cycles





- Optimising compilers will use vector instructions
 - Relies on code being vectorisable
 - ...or in a form that the compiler can convert to be vectorisable
 - Some compilers are better at this than others
 - But there are some general guidelines about what is likely to work...





When does the compiler vectorize

- What can be vectorized
 - Only loops
- Usually only one loop is vectorisable in loopnest
 - And most compilers only consider inner loop
- Optimising compilers will use vector instructions
 - Relies on code being vectorisable
 - Or in a form that the compiler can convert to be vectorisable
 - Some compilers are better at this than others
- Check the compiler output listing and/or assembler listing
 - Look for packed AVX/AVX2/AVX512 instructions

i.e. Instructions using registers zmm0-zmm31 (512-bit) ymm0-ymm31 (256-bit) xmm0-xmm31 (128-bit)

Instructions like vaddps, vmulps, etc...





Requirements for vectorisation

- Loops must have determinable (at run time) trip count
 - rules out most while loops
- Loops must not contain function/subroutine calls
 - unless the call can be inlined by the compiler
 - maths library functions usually OK
- Loops must not contain branches or jumps
 - guarded assignments may be OK

- e.g. if (a[i] != 0.0) b[i] = c * a[i];

 Loop trip counts needs to be long, or else a multiple of the vector length





- Loops must no have dependencies between iterations
 - reductions usually OK, e.g. sum += a[i];
 - avoid induction variables e.g. indx += 3;
 - USE restrict
 - may need to tell the compiler if it can't work it out for itself
- Aligned data is best
 - e.g. AVX vector loads/stores operate most effectively on 32-bytes aligned address
 - need to either let the compiler align the data....
 - .. or tell it what the alignment is
- Unit stride through memory is best





Compilers

- Intel compiler requires
 - Optimisation enabled (generally is by default)
 - -02
 - To know what hardware it's compiling for
 - -xCORE-AVX512
 - This is added automatically for you on ARCHER
 - Can disable vectorisation
 - -no-vec
 - Useful for checking performance
 - Intel compiler will provide vectorisation information
 - -qopt-report=[n] (i.e. -qopt-report=5)
 - Other compilers information
 - Cray: -hlist=a
 - GNU: -fdump-tree-vect-all=<filename>





Did my loop get vectorised?

- Always check the compiler output to see what it did
 - CCE: -hlist=a
 - GNU: -fdump-tree-vect-all=<filename>
 - Intel: -opt-report3
 - or (for the hard core) check the assembler generated
 - Look to see which registers are in use.
- Clues from CrayPAT's HWPC measurements
 - export PAT_RT_HWPC=13 or 14 # Floating point operations SP,DP
 - Complicated, but look for ratio of operations/instructions > 1
 - expect 4 for pure AVX with double precision floats





Did my loop get vectorised?

- GNU offers other options for checking:
- -fopt-info
- -O3 -fopt-info-missed=missed.all
- -O2 -ftree-vectorize -fopt-info-vec-missed
- -fopt-info-loop-optimized





Helping vectorisation

Does the loop have dependencies?

- information carried between iterations

```
• e.g. counter: total = total + a(i)
```

- No:

- Tell the compiler that it is safe to vectorise
- Yes:
 - Rewrite code to use algorithm without dependencies, e.g.
 - promote loop scalars to vectors (single dimension array)
 - use calculated values (based on loop index) rather than iterated counters, e.g.

```
• Replace: count = count + 2; a(count) = ...
```

• **By**: a(2*i) = ...

- move ${\tt if}$ statements outside the inner loop
- may need temporary vectors to do this (otherwise use masking operations)
- Is there a good reason for this?
 - There is an overhead in setting up vectorisation; maybe it's not worth it
 - Could you unroll inner (or outer) loop to provide more work?





Vectorisation example

- Compiler cannot easily vectorise:
 - Loops with pointers
 - Non-unit stride loops
 - Funny memory patterns
 - Unaligned data accesses
 - Conditionals/Function calls in loops
 - Data dependencies between loop iterations

```
int *loop_size;
void problem_function(float *data1, float *data2, float *data3, int
*index){
    int i,j;
    for(i=0;i<*loop_size;i++){
        j = index[i];
        data1[j] = data2[i] * data3[i];
    }
}
```





Vectorisation example

- Can help compiler
 - Tell it loops are independent
 - #pragma ivdep
 - !dir\$ ivdep
 - Tell it that variables or arrays are unique
 - restrict
 - Align arrays to cache line boundaries
 - Tell the compiler the arrays are aligned
 - Make loop sizes explicit to the compiler
 - Ensure loops are big enough to vectorise

```
int *loop_size;
void problem_function(float * restrict data1, float * restrict data2, float
* restrict data3, int * restrict index){
    int i,j,n;
    n = *loop_size;
    #pragma ivdep
    for(i=0;i<n;i++){
        j = index[i];
        data1[j] = data2[i] * data3[i];
    }
}
```



Vectorisation example

```
• This loop doesn't vectorise either:
do j = 1,N
  x = xinit
  do i = 1,N
     x = x + vexpr(i,j)
     y(i) = y(i) + x
  end do
end do
```

Compiler will vectorise inner loop by default

```
- Dependency on x between loop iterations
do j = 1, N
```

```
x(j) = xinit
end do
do j = 1,N
    do i = 1,N
        x(i) = x(i) + vexpr(i,j)
        y(i) = y(i) + x(i)
        end do
end do
```





Data alignment

- When vectorising data aligned data is essential for performance
 - Cache line



- Unaligned data
 - May require multiple data loads, multiple cache lines, multiple instructions
 - Will generate 3 different versions of a loop: peel, kernel, remainder
- Aligned data
 - Minimum number of data loads/cache lines/instructions
 - Will generate 2 different versions of a loop:

ekeneland remainder



Aligned data

- Aligned data is best
 - e.g. AVX vector loads/stores operate most effectively on 32-bytes aligned address
 - need to either let the compiler align the data....
 - .. or tell it what the alignment is
- Unit stride through memory is best





Align data

Align on allocate/create (dynamic)

```
- _mm_malloc, _mm_free
float *a = _mm_malloc(1024*sizeof(float),64);
- align attribute (at definition, not allocation)
```

real, allocatable :: A(1024)

!dir\$ attributes align : 64 :: a

Align on definition (static)

```
float a[1024] ___attribute__((aligned(64)));
```

real :: A(1024)

```
!dir$ attributes align : 64 :: a
```

- Common blocks in Fortran
 - It's not possible to use directives to align data inside a common block

- Can align the start of a common block

!DIR\$ ATTRIBUTES ALIGN : 64 :: /common_name/

- Up to you to pad elements inside common block

Derived types

- May need to use SEQUENCE keyword and manually pad to get correct alignment





Multi-dimensional alignment

- Need to be careful with multi-dimensional arrays and alignment
 - If you _mm_malloc each dimension then it should be fine

```
- If you do a single dimension _mm_malloc there may be issues:
float* a = _mm_malloc(16*15(sizeof(float), 64);
for(i=0;i<16;i++) {
    #pragma vector aligned
    for(j=0;j<15;j++) {
        a[i*15+j]++;
    }
}
```



Inform on alignment

- For non-static data, as well as aligning data, need to tell compiler it is aligned
- Number of different ways to do this
- Alignment of data inside a loop
 - Specify all data in the loop is aligned

```
#pragma vector aligned
```

```
!dir$ vector aligned
```

- Alignment of an array
 - Specify, for code after the alignment statement, a specific array is aligned

```
__assume_aligned(a, 64);
```

```
!dir$ assume_aligned a: 64
```

• May also need to define to properties of loop scalars

```
__assume(n1%16==0);
for(i=0;i<n;i++){
    x[i] = a[i] + a[i-n1] + a[i+n1];
}
!dir$ assume(mod(n1,16).eq.0)
• Also can use OpenMP simd clause
- Specify array is aligned for simd loop
#pragma omp simd aligned(a:64)
!omp$ simd aligned(a:64)
```





Fortran data

- Different ways of passing data to subroutines can affect performance
- Explicit arrays

```
subroutine vec_add_mult(A, B, C)
real, intent(inout), dimension(1024) :: A
real, intent(in), dimension(1024) :: B, C
```

- Compiler generates subroutine code based on contiguous data
 - Packing/unpacking required to do this is done by the compiler at caller level
 - May be overhead associated with this
- Need to tell the compiler the arrays are aligned (i.e. !dir\$ assume_aligned or !dir\$ vector aligned)
- Same for arrays where array size is passed as an argument to the routine





Fortran data

Assumed size arrays

```
subroutine vec_add_mult(A, B, C)
real, intent(inout), dimension(:) :: A
real, intent(in), dimension(:) :: B, C
```

- Compiler will generate different versions of the code, with and without contiguous functionality
 - Different versions may show up in the vector reports from the compiler
 - If there are too many different potential versions not all of them will necessarily be generated
 - The fall back version (none unit stride, not vectorised) will be used in this case for inputs that don't match any of the other versions
- Choice which is used made at runtime
- Still need to tell the compiler the arrays are aligned





Fortran data

Assumed shape arrays

```
subroutine vec_add_mult(A, B, C)
real, intent(inout), dimension(*) :: A
real, intent(in), dimension(*) :: B, C
```

- Compiler generates subroutine code based on contiguous data
 - Packing/unpacking required to do this is done by the compiler at caller level
 - May be overhead associated with this
- Still need to tell the compiler the arrays are aligned





Fortran Indirect addressing

Indirect addressing code can have some strange affects on vectorisation

```
subroutine vec_add_mult(A, B, C, index)
real, intent(inout), dimension(1024) :: A
real, intent(in), dimension(1024) :: B, C
integer, intent(in), dimension(1024) :: index
integer :: I
- Following has flow dependency (needs ivdep directive)
do i=1,n
```

```
a(index(i)) = a(index(i)) + b(index(i)) * c(index(i))
end do
```

- Uses gather and scatter operations to pack/unpack indexed locations
- Following creates array temporary for right hand side evaluation

```
a(index(:)) = a(index(:)) + b(index(:)) * c(index(:))
```

```
- Ends up creating 2 loops
```

```
temp(:) = a(index(:)) + b(index(:)) * c(index(:))
```

```
a(index(:)) = temp(:)
```

- Uses gather/scatter in both loops

epcc



Example

| 16. | + 1< | do j = 1,N |
|-----|----------------|--------------------|
| 17. | 1 | x = xinit |
| 18. | + 1 r4< | do i = 1,N |
| 19. | 1 r4 | x = x + vexpr(i,j) |
| 20. | 1 r4 | y(i) = y(i) + x |
| 21. | 1 r4> | end do |
| 22. | 1 > | end do |



ftn-6254 ftn: VECTOR File = bufpack.F90, Line = 16

A loop starting at line 16 was **not vectorized** because a recurrence was found on "y" at line 20.

ftn-6005 ftn: SCALAR File = bufpack.F90, Line = 18

A loop starting at line 18 was **unrolled 4 times**.

ftn-6254 ftn: VECTOR File = bufpack.F90, Line = 18

A loop starting at line 18 was not vectorized because a recurrence was found on "x" at line 19.







ftn-6007 ftn: SCALAR File = bufpack.F90, Line = 42

A loop starting at line 42 was **interchanged** with the loop starting at line 43.

ftn-6004 ftn: SCALAR File = bufpack.F90, Line = 43

A loop starting at line 43 was **fused** with the loop starting at line 38.

ftn-6204 ftn: VECTOR File = bufpack.F90, Line = 38

A loop starting at line 38 was vectorized.

ftn-6208 ftn: VECTOR File = bufpack.F90, Line = 42

A loop starting at line 42 was **vectorized** as part of the loop starting at line 38.

ftn-6005 ftn: SCALAR File = bufpack.F90, Line = 42

A loop starting at line 42 was unrolled 4 times.







OpenMP 4.0 SIMD directives

- Many compilers support their own sets of directives to assist the compiler to vectorise loops.
 - useful but not portable
- OpenMP 4.0 contains a standardised set of directives





Portable SIMD directives

Use simd directive to indicate a loop should be vectorised
 #pragma omp simd [clauses]

or

- !\$omp simd [clauses]
- Executes iterations of following loop in SIMD chunks
- Loop is not divided across threads
- SIMD chunk is set of iterations executed concurrently by SIMD lanes
- Not a hint! Programmer is asserting independence of iterations.





- Clauses control data environment, how loop is partitioned
- safelen (length) limits the number of iterations in a SIMD chunk.
- linear lists variables with a linear relationship to the iteration space (induction variables)
- aligned specifies byte alignments of a list of variables
- private, lastprivate, reduction and collapse have usual meanings.
- Also declare simd directive to generate SIMDised versions of functions.
- Can be combined with loop constructs (parallelise and vectorise)
 - #pragma omp for simd



